IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA AIKEN DIVISION

Matthew Cabbil,)	
)	Civil Action No.: 1:16-cv-01572-JMC
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	ORDER
)	
The United States of America,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
)	

This matter is before the court for review of the United States Magistrate Judge Paige J. Gossett's Report and Recommendation ("Report") (ECF No. 14), filed on June 14, 2016, recommending the court dismiss the Plaintiff's Complaint (ECF No. 1) without service of process and without requiring Defendant to file a return. The Report sets forth in detail the relevant facts and legal standards on this matter, and the court incorporates the Magistrate Judge's recommendation herein without recitation.

The Magistrate Judge's Report is made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Rule 73.02(B)(2) for the District of South Carolina. The Magistrate Judge's Report is only a recommendation to this court, and has no presumptive weight—the responsibility to make a final determination remains with this court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objections are made. *Id.* The court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the Magistrate Judge's recommendation or recommit the matter with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)

Plaintiff was advised of his right to file an objection to the Report by July 1, 2016. (ECF No. 14.) Plaintiff filed no objections.

1:16-cv-01572-JMC Date Filed 09/19/16 Entry Number 19 Page 2 of 2

In the absence of objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report, this court is not required to

provide an explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198,

199 (4th Cir. 1983). Rather, "in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not

conduct a de novo review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is not clear error on the

face of the record in order to accept the recommendations." Diamond v. Colonial Life &

Accident Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory

committee's note). Furthermore, failure to file specific written objections to the Report results in

a party's waiver of the right to appeal form the judgement of the District Court based upon such

recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case, the court finds the

Report provides an accurate summary of the facts and law. The court **ADOPTS** the Magistrate

Judge's Report and Recommendation. (ECF No. 14.) It is therefore **ORDERED** that Plaintiff's

action, (ECF No. 1), be **DISMISSED** without issuance and service of process, and without

requiring Defendant to file a return.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

United States District Judge

J. Michelle Child

September 19, 2016 Columbia, South Carolina